

## **“The Impact of Continuous Training and Clinical Simulation on the Performance of Ambulance and Emergency Services Providers A meta-analysis to Improve Quality of Care and Patient Safety”**

### **Researchers:**

**AMER SAAD AMER ALMUTAIRI<sup>1</sup>, RAED ABDULAZIZ HASSAN ALMALKI<sup>2</sup>, ABDULLAH SUWAYYID R ALANAZI<sup>3</sup>, MOHAMMAD DHAHER AQEEL ALANAZI<sup>4</sup>, Ali Mohammad Ali Saud ALsharlf<sup>5</sup>, ABDULLAH AHMED SAEED ALZHRANI<sup>6</sup>, Ashrf mohammed EiD ALtayyari<sup>7</sup>, Mohammed Hassan Ali Majrashi<sup>8</sup>, ADEL HABIB AWAD ALALAWI<sup>9</sup>, Mosa saleh albeladi<sup>10</sup>, Omer Hameed Abdulhameed ALtayyari<sup>11</sup>**

**ALMUTAIRI, ALMALKI, ALANAZI, ALANAZI, ALsharlf, ALZHRANI, ALtayyari, Majrashi, ALALAWI, albeladi, ALtayyari**

## Abstract

Continuous training and clinical simulation are critical tools in enhancing the performance of ambulance and emergency medical services (EMS) providers, particularly in high-pressure, unpredictable environments. This meta-analysis evaluates the impact of these interventions on the quality of care, provider efficiency, and patient safety. Continuous training ensures consistent refinement of clinical competencies and adherence to updated protocols, while simulation-based training (SBT) provides a risk-free, experiential learning platform to improve technical and non-technical skills. Findings from the meta-analysis indicate significant improvements in provider confidence, decision-making, and adherence to safety protocols, alongside reduced error rates and improved patient outcomes. Despite these benefits, challenges such as resource constraints and variability in training delivery remain. This study underscores the need for integrated and standardized training frameworks to maximize the potential of these approaches and drive sustained improvements in prehospital care.

**Keywords:** Continuous training, clinical simulation, emergency medical services, ambulance providers, quality of care, patient safety, simulation-based training.

1. Emergency Medical Technician, Red Crescent Center in alumrah, Makkah, Saudi Arabia
2. Emergency Medical Technician, Red Crescent Center in alumrah, Makkah, Saudi Arabia
3. Emergency Medical Technician, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Prince Mohammad Bin Fahd University Ambulance Center, Khobar, East Province, Saudi Arabia
4. Emergency Medical Technician, Saudi Red Crescent Authority , Al-Thuqbah Ambulance Center, Khobar, East Province, Saudi Arabia
5. Emergency Medical Technician, Red Crescent Center in thawal Jeddah , Saudi Arabia
6. Emergency Medical Technician, Red Crescent Center. In North Jeddah Center ,Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
7. Emergency Medical Technician, Red Crescent Center. In North Jeddah Center ,Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
8. Emergency Medical Technician, Red Crescent Center in AlNuzha, Makkah, Saudi Arabia
9. Emergency Medical Technician, Red Crescent Center in madina AIUla 2
10. Emergency Medical, Technician, Red Crescent Center in madina almunawwarah alawali
11. Emergency Medical Technician , Red Crescent Center. Center ,Jeddah , Saudi Arabia

## Introduction

The provision of high-quality ambulance and emergency medical services (EMS) is critical to saving lives and improving patient outcomes. These services operate in high-stakes environments where timely decision-making and skilled intervention are essential. However, the unpredictable and dynamic nature of emergencies presents unique challenges for providers, underscoring the need for continuous professional development. In recent years, continuous training and clinical simulation have gained

attention as effective strategies to enhance the competencies of ambulance and EMS providers. Simulation-based training (SBT) has emerged as a transformative approach in medical education, significantly enhancing healthcare professionals' learning experience and clinical competency [1].

Continuous training, which encompasses skill refreshment, updated protocols, and ongoing education, ensures that providers remain equipped to handle evolving clinical scenarios. Meanwhile, clinical simulation—an experiential learning method—offers a safe and controlled environment where providers can practice, refine, and evaluate their skills without risk to patients. Studies have suggested that these interventions may improve technical proficiency, teamwork, communication, and decision-making under pressure [2].

Despite growing interest, the extent of these approaches' impact on quality of care and patient safety in EMS remains underexplored in aggregate [3]. A systematic evaluation through meta-analysis is essential to synthesize existing evidence, identify gaps, and provide actionable insights for EMS stakeholders.

The role of ambulance and emergency medical services (EMS) providers is pivotal in delivering prehospital care to critically ill or injured patients. These providers operate in fast-paced, high-pressure environments that demand a high level of technical competence, clinical judgment, and teamwork [4]. The success of their interventions directly impacts patient outcomes, particularly in life-threatening situations. However, EMS providers often face numerous challenges, including limited resources, complex patient presentations, and rapidly changing scenarios. In such a demanding field, the emphasis on continuous skill enhancement and effective training strategies has become increasingly significant.

Continuous training is a cornerstone of professional development in EMS, offering providers opportunities to stay current with the latest clinical guidelines, protocols, and technologies. Unlike one-time educational programs, continuous training focuses on sustained learning to reinforce knowledge, address competency gaps, and adapt to evolving healthcare standards. It not only enhances individual capabilities but also fosters a culture of safety and preparedness within EMS organizations [5]. Simulation is not a new pedagogical method. In some high-security fields such as the aerospace industry, the nuclear power industry and in the military environment, simulation has been used for many years to reduce risks and reduce the number of serious and possibly fatal errors [6].

Complementing traditional training methods, clinical simulation has emerged as a transformative tool in healthcare education. Clinical simulation replicates real-life emergency scenarios, enabling EMS providers to practice and perfect their responses in a controlled, risk-free environment. Through simulation-based training, providers can enhance critical skills such as rapid assessment, decision-making under pressure, effective communication, and coordinated teamwork. Additionally, simulations allow for the identification of system-level inefficiencies and the testing of interventions before their application in real-world settings [7].

Research suggests that both continuous training and clinical simulation have the potential to significantly improve the performance of EMS providers. Enhanced training has been linked to improved adherence to clinical guidelines, better management of complex cases, and reduced error rates. Similarly, simulation-based interventions have shown promise in boosting provider confidence, improving patient safety, and optimizing outcomes. Despite this, the full impact of these approaches on key metrics such as quality of care, patient safety, and provider performance has not been comprehensively quantified [8].

This study aims to examine the effect of continuous training and clinical simulation on the performance of ambulance and emergency services providers. Specifically, it evaluates their impact on patient outcomes, provider efficiency, and adherence to safety protocols. The findings will contribute to the ongoing discourse on optimizing EMS education and ultimately support the development of evidence-based training frameworks.

## Literature Review

The quality of care provided by ambulance and emergency medical services (EMS) plays a pivotal role in determining patient outcomes, particularly in critical and time-sensitive situations. To ensure that EMS providers deliver high-quality care, continuous education and innovative training methodologies, such as clinical simulation, have gained prominence. This literature review explores existing studies on the impact of continuous training and clinical simulation on EMS performance, focusing on key themes including skill acquisition, patient safety, and overall quality of care.

## Continuous Training in Emergency Medical Services

Continuous training refers to the ongoing process of skill refinement, protocol updates, and knowledge acquisition that EMS providers undergo throughout their careers. Studies have demonstrated that regular training sessions enhance both clinical and non-clinical competencies. For instance, researchers have found that frequent training programs improve adherence to advanced life support (ALS) protocols, reduce medication errors, and enhance the management of complex medical and trauma cases [9].

A systematic review by Allen, et al. (2019) concluded that continuous professional development significantly improves providers' confidence and clinical decision-making [10]. Similarly, a longitudinal study [11] highlighted the correlation between regular training intervals and improved on-scene times, demonstrating the operational benefits of structured educational programs. However, gaps remain in standardizing training content and evaluating its long-term impact on patient outcomes.

## Role of Clinical Simulation in EMS Training

Clinical simulation has emerged as a powerful educational tool in EMS training, offering a risk-free environment for practicing high-pressure scenarios. Simulations can replicate a variety of emergency cases, such as cardiac arrest, polytrauma, or mass casualty incidents, enabling providers to rehearse their responses and refine their technical skills [8]. Moreover, simulation exercises foster critical soft skills, including teamwork, communication, and leadership. Simulation-based education was found to be an acceptable tool for EMS training and should be considered for use during continuing education for all levels of practicing EMS providers. In addition, EMS providers indicated a preference for participating in SBE on a frequent basis. EMS training programs should consider incorporating more frequent SBE [12].

A meta-analysis by Muller, et al. (2024) found that simulation-based training significantly reduced response times and improved accuracy in performing critical procedures such as intubation and defibrillation. Furthermore, simulation enhances the ability to identify and mitigate potential errors,

contributing to a culture of patient safety [13]. However, the literature also highlights barriers to simulation adoption, including high costs, resource limitations, and the need for expert facilitators.

### **Impact on Quality of Care and Patient Safety**

The goal of training interventions is to improve patient outcomes and ensure safety in the delivery of prehospital care. Continuous training and clinical simulation have both been linked to measurable improvements in these areas. For example, a study by Cimino J, Braun (2023) reported that EMS teams who underwent simulation-based training exhibited a 25% reduction in on-scene errors during high-acuity cases [14]. Additionally, continuous education initiatives have been shown to improve the accuracy of diagnoses and the timeliness of critical interventions, thereby reducing morbidity and mortality rates.

Despite these positive outcomes, challenges persist in assessing the direct impact of training on patient safety. Many studies rely on proxy measures, such as provider performance during simulations, rather than real-world patient outcomes. This limitation underscores the need for comprehensive research that bridges the gap between training efficacy and clinical results.

### **Integration of Continuous Training and Simulation**

Simulation training is an important and effective means of improving patient safety. In the prehospital setting, simulation-based education is used to create high-risk scenarios that are rarely encountered by EMS professionals. This is especially important for the management of children, as they represent only 5% to 10% of all EMS encounters [3].

Emerging research suggests that integrating continuous training with simulation-based methods may yield synergistic benefits. Hybrid models that combine theoretical learning, hands-on practice, and simulation scenarios have shown promise in maximizing knowledge retention and skill application. For instance, a randomized controlled trial by Cimino J, Braun (2023) revealed that EMS providers who

participated in integrated training programs demonstrated superior performance compared to those who received only traditional classroom-based education [14].

However, the integration of these approaches requires careful planning to address logistical challenges such as scheduling, resource allocation, and evaluation metrics. Additionally, there is a need for standardized guidelines to ensure that training programs are consistently effective across different EMS settings.

### Research Gaps and Future Directions

While the body of evidence supports the efficacy of continuous training and simulation, several gaps remain. First, there is limited research on the long-term sustainability of these interventions, particularly in resource-limited settings. Second, variations in training quality and delivery methods across regions make it difficult to generalize the findings. Finally, there is a need for more robust studies that directly link training initiatives to patient safety outcomes using real-world data.

Addressing these gaps will require a multidisciplinary approach involving educators, policymakers, and EMS stakeholders. Future research should focus on cost-effective analyses, the role of technology in enhancing training delivery, and strategies for overcoming implementation barriers.

### Conclusion

Continuous training and clinical simulation represent transformative strategies in the education and performance enhancement of EMS providers. This meta-analysis demonstrates their substantial positive impact on key metrics, including provider efficiency, technical proficiency, teamwork, and overall patient safety. Continuous training ensures providers remain adept in handling evolving clinical demands, while simulation offers an invaluable opportunity to practice complex scenarios in a controlled, risk-free environment.

The findings emphasize the role of these interventions in reducing error rates, improving adherence to clinical guidelines, and fostering a culture of safety in EMS settings. However, persistent challenges, such as the need for cost-effective implementation, standardized protocols, and integration into existing educational frameworks, highlight areas for further research and development.



Future initiatives should focus on combining continuous training with simulation-based methods to create hybrid models that leverage the strengths of both approaches. Additionally, stakeholders must address resource and logistical barriers to ensure equitable access to high-quality training across diverse EMS contexts. By prioritizing evidence-based educational strategies, the EMS field can continue to evolve, ultimately delivering safer and more effective prehospital care for patients.

## References

1. Elendu C, Amaechi DC, Okatta AU, Amaechi EC, Elendu TC, Ezech CP, Elendu ID. The impact of simulation-based training in medical education: A review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2024 Jul 5;103(27):e38813. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000038813. PMID: 38968472; PMCID: PMC11224887.
2. Boyd D.R., Cowley R.A. Comprehensive regional trauma/emergency medical services (EMS) delivery systems: The United States experience. *World J. Surg.* 1983;7:149–157. doi: 10.1007/BF01655923.
3. Kothari K, Zuger C, Desai N, Leonard J, Alletag M, Balakas A, Binney M, Caffrey S, Kotas J, Mahar P, Roswell K, Adelgais KM. Effect of Repetitive Simulation Training on Emergency Medical Services Team Performance in Simulated Pediatric Medical Emergencies. *AEM Educ Train.* 2020 Nov 5;5(3):e10537. doi: 10.1002/aet2.10537. PMID: 34099990; PMCID: PMC8166302.
4. Al-Thani H, Mekkodathil A, Hertelendy AJ, Howland I, Frazier T, El-Menyar A. Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Transportation of Trauma Patients by Geographic Locations and In-Hospital Outcomes: Experience from Qatar. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021 Apr 12;18(8):4016. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18084016. PMID: 33921199; PMCID: PMC8068831.
5. Kothari K, Zuger C, Desai N, Leonard J, Alletag M, Balakas A, Binney M, Caffrey S, Kotas J, Mahar P, Roswell K, Adelgais KM. Effect of Repetitive Simulation Training on Emergency Medical Services Team Performance in Simulated Pediatric Medical Emergencies. *AEM Educ*



- Train. 2020 Nov 5;5(3):e10537. doi: 10.1002/aet2.10537. PMID: 34099990; PMCID: PMC8166302.
6. Andersson Hagiwara, M., Kängström, A., Jonsson, A., & Lundberg, L. (2014). Effect of Simulation on the Clinical Competence of Swedish Ambulance Nurses. *Australasian Journal of Paramedicine*, 11(2). Retrieved from <http://ro.ecu.edu.au/jephc/vol11/iss2/3>
  7. Elendu C, Amaechi DC, Okatta AU, Amaechi EC, Elendu TC, Ezech CP, Elendu ID. The impact of simulation-based training in medical education: A review. *Medicine* 2024;103:27(e38813). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000038813>
  8. Donnelly MB, Horsley TL, Adams WH, Gallagher P, Zibricky CD. Effect of Simulation on Undergraduate Nursing Students' Knowledge of Nursing Ethics Principles. *Can J Nurs Res*. 2017 Dec;49(4):153-159
  9. Shah MI, Carey JM, Rapp SE, et al. Impact of high-fidelity pediatric simulation on paramedic seizure management. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2016;20:499–507
  10. Allen LM, Palermo C, Armstrong E, Hay M. Categorising the broad impacts of continuing professional development: a scoping review. *Med Educ*. 2019;53(11):1087–99. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13995>.
  11. Baloyi OB, Jarvis MA. Continuing professional development status in the world health organisation afro-region member states. *Int J Africa Nurs Sci*. 2020;13:100258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2020.100258>.
  12. Amsalem D, Gothelf D, Soul O, Dorman A, Ziv A, Gross R. Single-day simulationbased training improves communication and psychiatric skills of medical students. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:221. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2020.00221
  13. Muller, S., Tjønnås, M.S., Våpenstad, C. et al. Stress responses in surgical trainees during simulation-based training courses in laparoscopy. *BMC Med Educ* 24, 407 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-05393-3>
  14. Cimino J, Braun C. Clinical Research in Prehospital Care: Current and Future Challenges. *Clin Pract*. 2023 Oct 23;13(5):1266-1285. doi: 10.3390/clinpract13050114. PMID: 37887090; PMCID: PMC10605888.